

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/789,446	DUMAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas McKenzie	1625	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond Covington.

(2) Richard Traverso 9apps rep).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Restriction

Claims discussed:

1-25

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to elect Group I claims 1-7 and 19-25 with traverse.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)